John Howe sent me copies of his data on seven sheets. Some data were redundant. In Table 1 you may find the original data and in Table 2 - the ones I have used.  
A few phalanges were obviously different from the rest by their size. They will be discussed later, after the bulk of the material (ca. 125 middle-sized specimens).

In Fig.1, all Natural Trap Ph3 are compared to all extant Hemiones and Kiangs.

****Sorting the anterior Ph3 from the posterior****   
I’ll discuss elsewhere the general problem of this kind of sorting. For the moment I’ll only say that, unfortunately, two important measurements were not taken : plantar length and plantar circumference. Thus, I tried to sort the Ph3 according to the proportions of the articular surface : in the same individual, the articular width of the anterior Ph3 is larger, but naturally when many individuals are mixed the sorting is uncerain : Fig.2 (all Hemiones and Kiangs), Fig.3 (midle-sized Natural Trap).

****Conclusions****  
Fig.4 compares the middle-sized and the small specimens of Natural Trap to all *E. hemionus* and *E. kiang* Ph3. I cannot go farther than saying that they are about the same size.

Fig.5 compares the large specimens of Natural Trap to *E. przewalskii* Ph3. Fig.6 compares the same to *A. occidentalis* Ph3.

The specimen 50821 could be a posterior Ph3 of a Caballine or an anterior of *A. occidentalis*. Others could belong to a very large A. occidentalis (Fig.6).